

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/915,325	07/27/2001	Mitsuru Hiura	862.C2315 5938		
5514 75	590 11/04/2003	EXAMINER			
	K CELLA HARPER &	DUDDING, ALFRED E			
30 ROCKEFEL NEW YORK, 1	··	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2853			

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,					<i>^</i>			
		Application No		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/915,325		HIURA, MITSURU				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Alfred E. Duddir		2853				
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ars on the cove	er sh et with th	correspond nc address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how within the statutory m will apply and will expire cause the application	vever, may a reply be tir inimum of thirty (30) day a SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 J	luly 2003						
2a)⊠		is action is non-	final					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa			rosecution as to the mer	rits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under a ion of Claims				10 10			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-13,16 and 18-20 is/are pending in t	the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	Claim(s) 18-22 is/are allowed.	€:0						
6)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 16</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requir	ement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine		_					
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 July 2001</u> is/are: a)							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)🖾	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under :	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
,	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* :	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
	a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional applica	ition has been re	ceived.	·			
Attachmer	•	p unuoi						
1) Notice 2) Notice Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>7</u>	4) [5) [7. 6) [ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2853

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Nishi et al. (U.S. 6,100,515 A).

Nishi et al. discloses a scanning exposure apparatus, and a semi-conductor device manufacturing method, Column 1, lines 19 – 25, and Figure 1, clearly seen, comprising a master stage for scanning a master, Figure 1, element 12; a substrate stage for scanning a substrate, Figure 1, element "WST", and positioning means for relatively positioning the substrate and the master, Figure 1, element 22B (drive unit for positioning substrate stage, element 22C, drive unit for positioning master stage).

Nishi et al. teaches the claimed inventions of a scanning velocity determination means for determining a scanning velocity so as to maximize the number of substrates that can be exposed per unit time and transfer means for supplying/recovering the substrate to/from said substrate stage, Column 4, lines 33 – 54.

3. Claims 12, 13, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishi et al. in view of Clarke et al. (U.S. 5,621,216 A).

Nishi et al. fail to teach the use of a local area network (LAN) connected to the semiconductor manufacturing device.

Art Unit: 2853

Clarke et al. discloses connecting a local area network to the manufacturing apparatuses; and the step of performing data communication of information about at least one of the manufacturing apparatuses between the local area network and an external network outside the semiconductor manufacturing apparatuses, Figure 1, element 11 ("PWS", personal work stations) and Column 3, lines 17 - 23.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the LAN of Clarke et al. with the invention of Nishi et al. to quickly input different jobs and control manufacturing operations. The motivation/suggestion to do so is given by the '216 patent, Column 3, lines 15 –17, a personal computer (PC) that synchronizes the writing of the mask and the running of calibration and diagnostic programs.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 2-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- **a.** A search of prior art did not cite an apparatus wherein a scanning velocity determined from an exposure illuminance and a required exposure amount: Vd, and a scanning velocity at which the number of substrates that can be processed per unit time is maximized, which is determined from the transfer pattern size, a layout of the transfer pattern on the substrate, said transfer means, said master scanning means, said substrate stage scanning means, and said positioning means Vt as claimed in the limitations of claims 2 and 5-9.

Art Unit: 2853

b. A search of prior art did not cite an apparatus wherein a lowest one of a maximum scanning velocity determined from apparatus performance: Vmax, a scanning velocity determined from an exposure illuminance and a required exposure amount: Vd, scanning velocity determined from the minimum number of pulses which is required for integration to ensure a uniform exposure amount: Vp, and scanning velocity at which the number of substrates that can be processed per unit time is maximized, which is determined from the transfer pattern size, a layout of the transfer pattern on the substrate, said transfer means, said master scanning means, said substrate stage scanning means, and said positioning means Vt as claimed in the limitations of claims 3 and 4.

Page 4

- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:
- a. The primary reason for the allowance of claim 18 is the inclusion of the limitation of a scanning exposure apparatus for sequentially transferring a pattern on a master to each shot area on a substrate through a projection optical system having scanning velocity determination means for determining a scanning velocity on the basis of a length of the pattern in a scanning direction.
- **b.** The primary reason for the allowance of claims 19 and 20 is the inclusion of the limitation of a scanning exposure apparatus for sequentially transferring a pattern on a master to each shot area on a substrate through a projection optical system having scanning velocity determination means for determining a scanning velocity on the basis of a length on the shot area which is scanned at a constant velocity.
- c. The primary reason for the allowance of claim 21 is the inclusion of the limitation of a scanning exposure apparatus for sequentially transferring a pattern on a master to each shot area on a substrate through a projection optical system, having a controller for controlling

Art Unit: 2853

scanning of the stage at a scanning velocity so as to maximize the number of substrates that can be exposed per unit time, wherein the scanning velocity for controlling the scanning of the stage by said controller is determined from a plurality of velocities including a velocity so as to maximize a time period from a start of scanning of a shot area to an end of scanning.

d. The primary reason for the allowance of claim 22 is the inclusion of the limitation of a scanning exposure apparatus for sequentially transferring a pattern on a master wherein the scanning velocity determination means determines, as a scanning velocity in an actual exposure apparatus, a lowest one of a maximum scanning velocity determined from apparatus performance (Vmax); a scanning velocity determined from an exposure illuminance and a required exposure amount (Vd); and a scanning velocity at which the number of shots that can be processes per unit time is maximized, which is determined from the shot size (Vt). It is this limitation found in each of the claims, as it is claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught, or suggested by the prior art of record which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 30 July 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument regarding claims 1, 10, and 11 in that the Nishi et al. reference controls a scanning speed as not to exceed an upper limit rather than controlling a scan speed for maximizing a throughput based on information about the substrate. The Nishi et al. reference cites scanning a substrate "without lowering the throughput..." (Column 2, line 21).

Art Unit: 2853

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alfred Dudding whose telephone number is (703) 308-6082. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier, AU 2853, can be reached at (703) 308-4896. The fax phone number for this Group is are (703) 872-9306. The examiner's fax phone number is (703) 746-4390 (unofficial correspondence only).

Alfred Dudding

90

10-10-03

Stephen D. Meier Primary Examiner

Page 6